G.R.S. IX—5]

Previous references. 1919-20 (May) **File No.** 568 — 69

1917-18 Vol. II, 18

Serial Nos. 61, 61A, 65 & 67

Later references.

15—23

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 61, 61A, 65 & 67

Part B for March 1923

SUBJECT

Registered sale deed in respect of the

Mst Benarsi Chatrani

G. R. S. III-2.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 568 of 1914

Serial No.

The sale deed received from Benares does not contain the signature of the Vendor, which ought to be immediately above that of the purchaser in the Vaqaa place indicated in that document, any alteration at execution was ~~was~~ made by an agent of the Maharaja of Benares. The Vendor being the Sub Regr. of the sale deed of legal validity in the absence of the signature of the Vendor and in the absence of alternative provision for execution by the Vendor?

If it is not valid, then the deed be sent back to Benares for such execution with alterations and fresh registration.

Forwarded with Compliments to the Govt Advocate

C. 29/23

No 807 118/1922-23 of 30.1.1923

The sale deed has to be properly executed by a duly constituted attorney of H. H. the Maharaja

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

My File No. 56c of 19(4)

Serial No.

of Benares and in this Case by the General Agent of H.H. who admitted Execution of the document before the Sub Registrar of Benares.

It is curious that this most important omission ie Execution by the Company who purports to sell the property was not noticed by either the parties that were present at the time of registration or even by the Sub Registrar.

This is a very serious omission which, unless it is immediately set right, is likely to invalidate the document.

The document will have to be immediately sent back and the omission duly rectified with the permission of the Sub-Registrar. & the fact of Execution should also be duly attested.

We are enclosing Govt. Order &

29/1/23.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

1114

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

My File No. 568 of 1927

Serial No.

First Minister) 11/5/27

6229-914
30.1.28

As desired by the R.A the omission is a serious one & steps should be taken at once Reb the matter right. Mr. Madhavan with be addressed in the matter forthwith & the sale deed sent back to him for rectification & early return thereafter

Reed
31.1.28

S. R.

I am surprised that the omission should not have been noticed by the S.R of Ramnad when he registered the document. The S.R has also not appended his signature Reed
to the end of Registration 31.1.28

1/3/1/27

Draft letter sent
RG
31/1/28

A Deputation submitted I showed it to Pat Advocate yesterday and he said it was all right
First Minister) 1/5/2/28

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

9.7.0

R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mis File No. 568 of 1917

Serial No.	
6416-113 5.2.23	Form approved Rees 6.2.23 [illegible] 6/2/23
65	No. 2228 dt 9/2/23 to Madharam Manipam, Esq. Returns the Sale deed for rectifying certain omissions and requests early return thereafter
D1787 Sus 67	From the same., letter no. 263 dt 9.3.23. States that the omissions noticed in the registered sale-deed will be supplied in a supplementary document on one rupee stamp-paper, as no fresh entry or alteration can be made in the deed already registered. The delay is explained to be due to the absence of the Sr. Tractor from Benares for a few days and the absence still of the Sh: Secretary. Subd for perusal 15/3/23 Sh 15/3/23 For Perusal [illegible] 15/3/23 First Member

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

P.T.O.

1786

R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat.	File No.	of 19

Serial No.	
7593-466. 17.3.2.	Secn. Confirmation awaited Secy. 20.3.25 14/20/3/25
	ST 21/3

NOTE—Contn. sheet

No 132. Dw 1481
Mwz 22-23

GAIGHAT (BANGALIBARA)
BENARES.

Dt 15/11/1923
Mwz-568-G-614 of 192 .

Mwz 22/11/23

V. E. Jayram Aiyar Esqr.,
B. A., B. L.,
Secretary to the Government
of His Highness the Maharaja
of Mysore
(Revenue Department)

IT 22/11/23

Dear Sir,

I beg to intimate to you the despatch of the Sale deed of Hanuman Ghat Mutha per registered post. I hope you will kindly send me the information of its arrival and kindly send the same information to Benares Statistics.

I beg to remind you about the sanction of the out house (Chatteram) of which rough estimates and the sketches I sent to you before.

NOTE—Contn sheet

WD 0561—GPB—3750—1 8 22

I hope you will kindly send
me those that are already
so that work may proceed on.

I am
Yours most faithfully
Madhoram Manoram

Draft letter acknowledging the
receipt of the sale deed & intimating
the same to the Hon: Secretary, Benares
State, are put up-

23/1/23

27260

All communications to the Chief Secretary, Benares State, should give the No. date and subject of any previous correspondence, and note the department concerned.

BENARES STATE SECRETARIAT

No. 584

XIX-130(1919-20)

From Colonel Vindhyeshwari Prasad Singh, B.A., C.I.E.,

CHIEF SECRETARY,

BENARES STATE, D.No 1414

SECRETARY'S OFFICE
12 JAN 1923

GENERAL SECRETARIAT
22-23

To The Secretary,

Revenue Department,

Mysore State. 568-19-61

ST 12/1/23

Dated, Fort Ramnagar, the 3rd January, 1923.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No:1123/Muz 568-19, dated the 6th: September, 1922, I have the honour to say that the registered deed of the sale of the Gangatir Mutt at Benares in favour of the Mysore Government together with the plan has been handed over to Pt. Madho Ram Sand, the Mysore agent at Benares.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Colonel,
Chief Secretary.

Subd
The file on the subject has gone up to the Minister with the statement sent by Munirum Harijan.
17-1-23 17/1/23 B.P.

ST 20/1/23

Rr S. 26]

1(1)Uyau
568-19 File No.
65 Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to —

No. 2228

Sent in Registered cover. Sale deed acknowledgment

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
, *the* 191 .

To

Madhavam Mannam, Esqr
Gaighat Banares.

Date of issue 9.2.23

Signed by Mr. T.E.J. Piyas

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 131 dated the 15th January 1923 together with the registered sale deed sent therewith. In this connection I am to point out that the Sale deed is not signed by the Vendor Nor has the execution of the document by him been attested. The Sub Registrar has also not

over.

SUBJECT.

affixed his signature
~~Signatured~~ his endorsement of
registration. I am according
directed to return his Sale deed
and to request that with the
permission of his Sub registrar
(1) the Signature of the Vendor
may be obtained at the place
indicated in the deed, ~~viz~~ i.e.
above the Signature of the Dewan
of Mysore, and
2) the Signatures of two attestors
obtained above the place where
his private Secretary to the Dewan
and the Rev. Secretary to govt
have attested it. The Sub registrar
may also be requested to signify
endorsement of registration. ~~The~~ And
~~Sub registrar may also be requested~~
~~to~~ to enter in his register the
names of the Vendor another attestors
and to certify on the deed that
these additions were ~~not~~ made
with his approval and that
they have been duly

List of enclosures,

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—25,000—6-8 11.

G R. S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

embodied in his register. Kindly see that no addition is made to the deed without the Express Sanction of the Sub-registrar.

Received 6.2.23

Move re Wording

Rec'd 6.2.23

2229
dt 2.2.23

Copy of the above is forwarded with compliments to the Chief Sec.y, Benares State for info. with ref.e to his letter no. 5[24/XIX 130 (19-23) dt 5th Jan.y 23.

D
1786

GAIGHAT (BANGALIBARA)
BENARES

of 192 .

No. 263. 568-19 T.E. Jagarama Aiyar Esqr.
B.A., B.L.,
Revenue Secretary to
Government :- Bangalore

Dear Sir

I humbly acknowledge the receipt of your kind letter No 2228 Revn 568-19-63 of 9.2.1923.

I hope you will kindly excuse me for the delay because I was out of Benares and so no further steps could be taken in the matter in my absence. On my return I found that the Chief Secretary of Benares State was not present at Benares nor he has yet returned. There is hope of his return by Sunday next. However

WD 0961—GPB—3750—1 8 22

I took necessary steps in matter with the office of the Chief Secretary. As for the signature of the Vendar the pages of the Sale deed are every one of them signed by the Vendar at the top. There is no signature at the spot where the expressions "Vendar's Signature" are written. The Maharaja Saheb of Benares signs similar documents in like manner and it is well known to the Registrar and others and so the document was duly registered. The spot where the registrar's signature was left is now signed by him because his register is duly signed but the document was not signed by him by the mistake of his

office. As for the signature of witnesses, it is a mistake, which can be rectified by a supplementary document because there can neither be any entry or alteration in the deed already registered. In the supplimentary document there will be mention of vendor's signature too and about the signature of the witnesses. It is being composed and if the Chief Secretary returns by Sunday next I will get the supplementary deed registered on Monday next and send it to you. The supplimentary document will be written one rupee stamped paper.
This will set everything alright.

I have the honour
Sir,
your most Obedient
Madhoram Mansaram

Muzrai Secretariat	Muz. File No.	of 192 .

Serial No.

NOTE—Contn sheet

WD 0861—GPB—3750—1 8 22